1	Sarah R. Gonski (# 032567) PERKINS COIE LLP 2901 North Central Avenue, Suite 2000	
2		
3		
4	Facsimile: (602) 648-7000 DBarr@perkinscoie.com	
. 5	SGonski@perkinscoie.com	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
7	Roopali H. Desai (# 024295) Andrew S. Gordon (# 003660) D. Andrew Gaona (# 028414) COPPERSMITH BROCKELMAN PLC	
8		
9	2800 North Central Avenue, Suite 1200 Phoenix, Arizona 85004	
10	Telephone: (602) 381-5478 RDesai@cblawyers.com	
11	AGordon@cblawyers.com AGaona@cblawyers.com	
12	Attorneys for Intervenor-Plaintiff	
13.	Bernie 2016, Inc.	
14	*Admitted pro hac vice	
15	[Additional Counsel Listed on Signature Page]	
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
18	Leslie Feldman, et al.,	No. CV-16-01065-PHX-DLR
19	Plaintiffs,	140. C V = 10 01003 1111 BBR
20	and	DECLARATION OF
21	Bernie 2016, Inc.,	REPRESENTATIVE CHARLENE FERNANDEZ IN SUPPORT OF
22	Intervenor-Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
23	V.	PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
24	Arizona Secretary of State's Office, et al.,	
25	Defendants,	
26	and	
27	Arizona Republican Party, Intervenor-Defendants.	
28	Titlet verior-Determants.	

Pursuant to 20 U.S.C. § 1746, I, Charlene Fernandez, declare as follows:

- 1. My name is Charlene Fernandez. I am over the age of 18, have personal knowledge of the facts stated in this declaration, and can competently testify to their truth.
- 2. I am a United States citizen who is registered to vote in Maricopa County, Arizona.
- 3. I am a State Representative for Arizona's Fourth Legislative District, which is the state's second-largest district and incorporates large portions of western Arizona. I plan to run for reelection in the November 2016 general election, and I am a Democrat. Prior to my election to the Legislature, I served as the Chair of the Yuma County Democratic Party.
- 4. My district spans four separate Arizona counties, including sections of Yuma, Maricopa, Pinal, and Pima counties. My district also includes portions of the Tohono O'odham and Cocopah Nations. Much of my district is rural. My district includes over 204,000 constituents, over half of which are ethnic minorities, primarily Native American and Hispanic.

Presidential Preference Election

5. Many of my constituents live within western portions of Maricopa County, including Goodyear and Buckeye. My constituents in these areas were severely harmed by the long lines and lack of polling locations in the 2016 presidential preference election. Many of my constituents reported waiting in lines for upwards of three hours. Volunteers for my reelection campaign visited the vote centers in Buckeye to collect signatures for my nomination petition, and saw many elderly and handicapped voters leave the line because they were unable to stand and wait. In fact, one of my campaign volunteers gave rides home to several elderly voters whose adult children had brought them to the vote center to vote, intending to give them a ride home afterwards, but once they saw the length of the lines realized that they would have to go to work before their elderly parent finished voting. Without the kindness and generosity of my volunteer, these elderly voters would have had no choice but to go home with their children without voting.

8

1112

13

14

15 16

1718

1920

2122

2324

25

2627

28

- 6. Because of the mismanagement of the election, I worry that many of my constituents will be reluctant to vote in the 2016 general election for fear of repeating the same experience.
- 7. As an elected official, I take my responsibility to encourage voting and civic participation seriously. I was frustrated and upset to hear that the election mismanagement had disenfranchised so many of my constituents in Maricopa County.

H.B. 2023

- 8. I chaired the Yuma Democratic Party from 2006 until my election to the Legislature. In that capacity, as well as in my current role, I have volunteered and staffed many election cycles over the past decade. In my experience, collection and personal delivery of early ballots is a key piece of a campaign's get-out-the-vote efforts. I have personally delivered early ballots many times in the past in order to help others vote.
- The collection and personal delivery of early ballots is particularly crucial 9. among my constituency. In areas like mine that are very rural, many voters lack home mail delivery and can have trouble receiving and mailing their early ballots. For example, in San Luis, a town in my district next to the Mexico-Arizona border, there is a large population of rural voters who lack home mail delivery. Because many of these voters are elderly and have mobility challenges, it is a common practice in this area to have one neighbor pick up and drop off mail for others on their street as a neighborly service. In San Luis, there is only one location to drop off and receive mail, and it is situated in a hard-to-reach spot directly across a busy highway. The highway often gets choked up with cars stopped at the border crossing, so driving to the post office is usually timeconsuming. Moreover, a voter cannot reach the post office on foot because it would be unsafe to walk across the highway. Because of this untenable situation, sending and receiving mail in communities like San Luis is fraught with difficulty and can take time. Many San Luis residents work in Yuma, which is 23 miles away, and thus prefer to drop off outgoing mail in Yuma for themselves and friends.
 - 10. To help voters in this area, it has long been the practice of the Democratic

party and campaigns like my own, as well as unaffiliated volunteers, to offer to collect and personally deliver signed, sealed ballots to the local post office or the Recorder's office to relieve the voter of the time-consuming task of mailing it. Voters often personally know the volunteers—who are often neighbors or friends—and are enormously grateful for this help. I have personally collected and delivered the ballots of voters in past elections, including in my own elections in 2012 and 2014. I estimate that my campaigns have collected and personally delivered several hundred ballots in each of these elections.

- Legislature have repeatedly attempted to ban the collection and personal delivery of early ballots. In 2013, H.B. 2305 was passed and signed into law as A.R.S. §16-1005(G). I was upset about the bill and spoke out about the impact that the law would have on voters in my district, particularly rural voters. I was relieved when citizen groups began collecting signatures to propose a ballot referendum to repeal the law. In an effort to avoid a citizen-led repeal, Republicans in the Legislature quickly repealed the law themselves and determined to try again the following session when publicity died down. Accordingly, the following session, the Senate passed S.B. 1339, which would have added a new A.R.S. §16-1005(H), (I) and (J) to criminalize the collection and personal delivery of early ballots. The bill did not pass the House and never became law. I voted against S.B. 1339.
- 12. I was frustrated and disappointed when Republicans in the Legislature again proposed the bill in early 2016. H.B. 2023 went one step further than the prior iterations of the same effort to make mere *possession* of the early ballot of another voter a Class 6 felony. I strongly opposed H. B. 2023, and spoke against the bill at length on multiple occasions. Nonetheless, despite my efforts, the bill passed the Legislature on March 9, 2016, and was signed into law by the governor hours later.
- 13. H.B. 2023 needlessly criminalizes voters helping other voters and neighbors helping neighbors. I worry very much about the wide-ranging impact on rural mail practices this will engender. People may cease the long-standing and utterly harmless practice of dropping off and picking up mail for their neighbors, out of fear that the mail

1
 2
 3

4 5

> 7 8

6

9

10

11 12

13

14. 15

17 18

16

19

2021

22

23

25

2627

28

will inadvertently contain a ballot. Under H.B. 2023, a resident will not even be able to collect mail for an out-of-town neighbor that they are housesitting for out of fear that the mail may contain a ballot.

- 14. During legislative debates on H.B. 2023, I repeatedly spoke about the impact that the bill would have on rural voters. For example, on February 4, 2016 in the first meeting of the Committee of the Whole, which is a gathering of the entire legislative body, I asked the body to consider voters who are forced to use P.O. boxes because they do not receive home mail delivery. I asked the committee to consider voters in places like San Luis.
- 15. To present the most up-to-date and detailed statistics to the legislative body, I conducted independent research into the numbers of my constituents receiving home mail delivery. I called every post office in my district and inquired about methods of local mail delivery. In San Luis, Arizona, for example, there are 12,498 post office boxes but, as mentioned previously, no home mail delivery. I also called the Tohono O'odham Nation, a sovereign community within my district. I was told that there are approximately 1,900 members of the Tohono O'odham Nation who cannot receive home mail delivery. I reported this research to my colleagues in the Committee of the Whole on February 4, saying "[The Tohono O'odham postmaster] said that [the bill] would probably hurt a lot of people because they don't check their boxes on a daily basis because they live miles and miles away from the post office. So they check if maybe on a weekly or even a biweekly basis. So that 26 days, that window of opportunity to pick up your ballot, take it home and vote, has just shrunk. And a lot of times, they can't get [their ballots] back. Again, they don't have mass transit. There isn't a taxi service there. So they might give it to a neighbor or a friend to return it to the post office. There aren't any of those blue boxes that we might see around town here in Phoenix where you can drop your mail." My colleague Representative Lisa Otondo agreed with my statement, telling the Committee that "your mailbox could be 45 minutes to two hours away on some of the Native American] sovereign nations."

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

26

27

I also spoke in support of an amendment offered to the bill by Representative Clark. His offered amendment would have allowed personal delivery of another's early ballot provided that the voter signed an affidavit on the outside of the envelope giving permission to the friend to drop it off. As I told my colleagues, "this amendment would help because people would be able to return their ballots. They do want to vote. They care to vote. They vote honestly, and they would be able to give it to a neighbor without penalty of getting a felony and jail time and a fine. This happens throughout my district where [voters] hand their ballot off to a trusted friend, and they would be more than willing to sign the ballot on behalf of themselves that they gave it to their friend to return it. This amendment would help." In response to my comments, representative Michelle Ugenti-Rita, the sponsor of H.B. 2023, was unmoved. She said "I mean, I'm just going to speak really frank. You have got 30 days to get that ballot to the post office box. You get your checks to the post office box. You pick up your kids from school. You show up to work on time. You have a lot of opportunity to engage in this process. If you can't get it done, that is not my problem." In the end, the Committee rejected the amendment.

17. I also spoke against the bill in the Committee of the Whole during the Third Reading. I wanted to make my objections clear for the record. I said: "District 4, which I represent, is the second largest district in the state or Arizona, and in my district is Somerton, and within that is the Cocopah Indian Nation. Five miles from their location is the post office. They do not receive home delivery. In Somerton, they have 4,000 post office boxes. 4,000. 2,500 of them are necessary. 1,500 choose to have post office boxes such as people that just choose to have them or businesses. 2,500 have to have post office boxes. San Luis, Arizona, is also located in my area, and they have 12,498 post office boxes. There is no home delivery in San Luis, Arizona. Ajo, Arizona, is also located in my district. They don't have home delivery, and there's 950 post office boxes. Gila Bend, Arizona, is located in my district, and there's 1150 post office boxes. The Tohono O'odham nation is also located in my district. They have 1900 rented post office boxes, no home delivery, and there's approximately 600 cluster boxes. I'm going to look around

28

and see if anyone knows what cluster boxes are. They're located in different areas, like in subdivisions. So this is what I would like in the record. This is all the people that will be disenfranchised when this bill passes."

Despite my efforts, the bill passed and was signed into law mere hours later by Governor Doug Ducey. The passage of H.B. 2023 severely burdens my constituents because it makes it much more difficult for them to vote. The bill prohibits an entirely benign and long-standing practice of rural citizens pooling resources to help ensure that the community's mail is delivered and received. Additionally, H.B. 2023 burdens my reelection efforts because it renders voting far more difficult for my rural constituents. It binds my campaign from continuing our typical practice of helping voters cast a ballot by personally delivering it. I fear that in the future my voters will be impeded in their efforts to communicate with me, their elected official, through their votes.

Provisional voters

- 19. One of the most common voting problems my constituents encounter is not knowing where to vote. The problem is particularly acute in my district because my constituents live in four separate counties, each with its own system of assigning polling locations and vote centers. Accordingly, it is extremely difficult for me to offer information and education to voters in my district because of the overlapping and contradictory policies regarding the proper place to vote. In Yuma County, elections are run according to a vote center model, in which voters can go to any available polling location. My constituents in Pima and Pinal Counties, on the other hand, must learn and go to their assigned precinct or their vote will be rejected. Perhaps most confusingly, my constituents in Maricopa County must learn rules anew for each election, as the county toggles between vote center and precinct-based elections—even, as in 2016, during the same election cycle.
- 20. As a result of the contradictory and confusing voting requirements, many of my constituents have had their ballots rejected when they have inadvertently attempted to

4

5 6

8 9

7

11 12

10

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27 28 cast a ballot in a precinct other than the one to which they are assigned in a given election. When they do so, they are forced to vote a provisional ballot, which is later rejected under the Secretary of State's interpretation of Arizona law.

- This policy can have profound consequences on elections. I lost my election 21. in 2012 by a mere 253 votes. In Yuma County alone—not including voters in my district in Pima, Pinal, and Maricopa counties—there were over 200 rejected provisional ballots cast in that election, many of which were rejected because they were cast in the wrong precinct. Had these provisional ballots been counted, the outcome of my race may have been different.
- 22. Because of the persistent confusion regarding polling locations, I have had to divert valuable time and resources away from other campaign activities to educate voters about where to vote in every one of my four counties in every single election. Despite my best efforts, many voters will be disenfranchised by Arizona's policies of rejecting a ballot cast in the wrong precinct. This burden falls more heavily on some of my constituents than others. A voter in Maricopa County, for example, was relieved of this worry altogether in the 2016 presidential preference election because the election was conducted on a vote center model; the same voter in Pima County would have had to correctly ascertain their precinct and vote accordingly or risk being disenfranchised. The inconsistent treatment of my voters harms my campaign and my efforts to represent every voter in my district because it give disproportionate influence among my electorate to voters who have comparatively simpler voting rules, whereas it suppresses the voices of voters who vote in districts with stricter, precinct-based rules.
- I fear that Arizona's wholesale rejection of provisional ballots cast in the 23. wrong precinct will, as it did in 2012, harm my reelection chances. By imposing a blanket ban on provisional ballots cast in advertently in the wrong precinct, Arizona is impeding my voters from having their voices heard. To address this inevitable and reasonable confusion, my campaign will have to engage in even more efforts to educate voters in each of the four counties in my district about the policies of their specific county, where

Case 2:16-cv-01065-DLR Document 95 Filed 06/10/16 Page 9 of 10

1	their polling location is and whether it is necessary that they only vote in their assigned
2	location.
3	
4	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
5	
6	DATED: June 03,, 2016
7	
8	By: <u>Charlene R. Fernandez</u> Representative Charlene Fernandez
9	Representative Charlene Fernandez
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
2021	
21	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on June 10, 2016, I electronically transmitted the attached document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and a Notice of Electronic Filing was transmitted to counsel of record. s/ Daniel R. Graziano